Journal of Neuropsychology (2012) © 2012 The British Psychological Society



www.wileyonlinelibrary.com

## Brief communication

# Subtle cognitive deficits in severe alcohol addicts – Do they show a specific profile?

Frank A. Wollenweber<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Sarah Halfter<sup>2</sup>, Elisabeth Brügmann<sup>2</sup>, Christina Weinberg<sup>2</sup>, Edna C. Cieslik<sup>2</sup>, Veronika I. Müller<sup>2</sup>, Robert M. Hardwick<sup>3</sup> and Simon B. Eickhoff<sup>2,4,5</sup>

Although alcohol dependency is a burden to society, data on cognitive performance in therapy-resistant patients after multiple withdrawals are poor. In this study, 22 patients without reported cognitive deficits and 20 control subjects performed extensive cognitive testing and a motor task assessing short-term memory. Patients displayed subtle deficits (mainly in executive function), while memory functions were relatively unimpaired. Our results suggest that subtle frontal-executive deficits may contribute to a poor prognosis, but could be missed by routine clinical tests.

Alcohol dependency is a major burden on society and a devastating disease for many affected individuals. Despite various therapeutic approaches, a proportion of patients do not respond to therapy and suffer relapses shortly after hospitalized detoxification and/or withdrawal.

While evidence indicates that mild-to-moderate alcohol consumption has neuroprotective effects on cognitive function (Stampfer, Kang, Chen, Cherry, & Grodstein, 2005), excessive drinking has been linked with structural brain damage and deterioration of cognitive performance (Green *et al.*, 2010). The frontal lobes, cerebellum and limbic system appear more vulnerable to the toxic effects of alcohol than other brain areas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institute for Stroke and Dementia Research, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, University Hospital Aachen, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Behavioural Brain Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Neuroscience and Medicine (INM-I), Research Center Jülich, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Institute for Clinical Neuroscience and Medical Psychology, Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf, Germany

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence should be addressed to Frank A. Wollenweber, Marchioninistr. 15, 81377 München, Germany (e-mail: frank. wollenweber@med.lmu.de).

(Oscar-Berman & Marinkovic, 2007). In particular, several animal studies examining recurrent withdrawals have demonstrated frontal lobe damage due to glutamate-related excitotoxicity (De Witte, Pinto, Ansseau, & Verbanck, 2003; Loeber *et al.*, 2010; Stephens & Duka, 2008). Unfortunately, studies investigating the neuropsychological sequelae of multiple withdrawals in humans are scarce and provide inconclusive results (Duka, Townshend, Collier, & Stephens, 2003; Loeber *et al.*, 2009, 2010). Furthermore, no studies have examined severely affected individuals who have experienced at least five relapses. We hypothesized that such therapy-resistant patients would suffer from subtle cognitive deficits, especially in frontal-executive functions.

### **Materials and Methods**

A total of 22 inpatients and 20 healthy control subjects were recruited. All subjects gave informed consent, and the study was approved by the local ethics committee. All patients were diagnosed by a senior psychiatrist as being alcohol-dependent according to the criteria of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) 10 (F10.2), and were clinically examined by an experienced neurologist. Additional criteria included a history of more than 5 years of drinking, and to have experienced at least five withdrawals in the last 5 years. Testing took place between days 7 and 21 of withdrawal, to examine a homogenous population and to represent the acute phase shortly before discharge. Subjects using diazepam or clomethiazol were not tested until at least 7 days after their final medication. Patients with elevated ammonium levels, hypovitaminosis, hypothyroidism, electrolyte disturbances, or parameters indicating an acute inflammation were excluded. Apart from mild dysthymia, all central nervous system (CNS)-affecting diseases, cognitive complaints and CNS-affecting drugs were further exclusion criteria. Three patients did not undergo all neuropsychological subtests for compliance reasons. The control group was closely matched by age, education, and gender. One control subject was excluded because of a previously undiagnosed major depression, and a further matched pair could not be found.

## Neuropsychological test battery

The following well-established cognitive tests were performed by a senior neuropsychologist as described in the literature: Digit Span subtest of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, Version III (WAIS-III), the two classical versions of the Trail Making Test (TMT-A, TMT-B), Benton facial recognition test, Syndrom-Kurz-Test (SKT), Facially Expressed Emotion Labeling (FEEL), and a German version of the verbal learning memory test (VLMT). The Mehrfachwahl-Wortschatz-Intelligenztest (MWT-B), a multiple-choice vocabulary intelligence test, was used to provide an estimation of crystalline intelligence. The Regensburg Wortflüssigkeitstest (RWT), a word fluency test containing verbal and phonological fluency with alternating categories, was also applied. The Becks Depression Inventory, second version (BDI-II), was completed as a self-questionnaire.

## Motor short-term memory paradigm

All participants performed a computerized motor short-term memory paradigm. Subjects were required to memorize a 4-, 5-, or 6-item finger sequence, which was indicated by a dot moving on the fingers of a schematic of the left hand or right hand. A go cue was

presented either immediately or after a 5- to 7-s pause, and the memorized finger sequence had to be reproduced as quickly and accurately as possible. Each sequence length was combined with both types of delay and the two possible hands, yielding 12 distinct conditions. Each condition was presented six times throughout the whole experiment. Thus, in total, 72 trials were presented in a randomized fashion. All visual stimuli were displayed using the presentation software package (Version 12.0). A standardized finger-tapping test was performed to exclude motor dysfunction.

## Data analysis

Measurements from the neuropsychological assessment, the motor paradigm and sociodemographic data were analysed offline using MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA). Group differences were analysed using two sample *t*-tests. Correlations were calculated by Spearman's rank. Gender distribution was tested by a chi-square test. Performance in the short-term memory paradigm was assessed using a repeated measures analysis of variance (rmANOVA) with within-subject variables of sequence length (four, five, or six items) and timing (immediate, delayed), and a between-subjects factor of group (patients, controls).

#### Results

#### Clinical characteristics

Patients and controls did not significantly differ in terms of gender ( $\chi^2=0.38, p=.845$ ), age ( $T_{40}=0.08, p=.94$ ), or education ( $T_{40}=0.98, p=.33$ ). Detailed baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Patients had a mean daily ethanol intake of 241.8 g (307 mL,  $\approx$ 31 UK units of alcohol or 15 "beer-units") before actual withdrawal therapy. Patients reported a mean of 8.9 hospitalizations for alcohol withdrawal therapies.

#### Neuropsychological test battery

Detailed data from the neuropsychological test battery are provided in Table 2. Patients showed significantly lower performance in the subtests TMT-A ( $T_{40} = 2.7; p = .01$ ), TMT-B ( $T_{40} = 3.0, p = .004$ ), and RWT ( $T_{39} = 8.1, p < .001$ ) compared with the control group, indicating a specific frontal-executive deficit. The patient group also displayed lower performance in the Benton Test ( $T_{40} = 2.9, p = .006$ ) and MWT ( $T_{39} = 2.6, p = .01$ ). Additionally, patients reported a significantly greater level of subclinical depressive features in the BDI-II ( $T_{37} = 4.3, p < .001$ ). In contrast, learning, direct, and delayed memory were not impaired in comparison with the control group.

Table	1	Racalina	characteristics
Iable		Daseillie	Cital actel istics

	Patients	Controls
Male/female	16/6	15/5
Age	$46.8\pm7.4$	$46.8\pm6.5$
Mean drink units/day	$14.8 \pm 1.1$	0–1
Mean ethanol intake gram/day	$241.8 \pm 17$	<10
Mean number of hospitalized withdrawal therapies	8.94	0
Years of education	$10.0\pm1.9$	$11.0\pm1.85$

Table 2. Group differences between patients and controls by two sample T-test

	•	•	, ,		
	N	М	SD	Þ	df
Mehrfachwahl-V	Vortschatz-Intell	ligenztest (vocabular	y intelligence testing)		
Patient	21	26.19	4.273	.012	39
Control	20	29.40	3.440		
Digit Span subte	st of the Wechs	ler Adult Intelligence	Scale (forward)		
Patient	22	7.73	2.028	.967	40
Control	20	7.75	1.482		
Digit Span subte	st of the Wechs	ler Adult Intelligence	Scale (backward)		
Patient	22	5.86	2.007	.522	40
Control	20	6.20	1.240		
Verbal Learning	and Memory Te	st l			
Patient	21	45.33	8.248	.403	39
Control	20	47.45	7.749		
Verbal Learning	and Memory Te	st 2			
Patient	21	9.52	2.994	.546	39
Control	20	8.95	3.034		
Verbal Learning	and Memory Te	st 3			
Patient	21	2.29	1.901	.394	39
Control	20	2.95	2.946		
Trail Making Tes	st Part A				
Patient	22	31.00	7.856	.010	40
Control	20	25.00	6.274		
Trail Making Tes	st Part B				
Patient	22	67.09	26.106	.004	40
Control	20	46.75	15.151		
Regensburg Wo	rding Test				
Patient	21	53.33	14.524	.000	39
Control	20	92.35	16.001		
Benton facial red	cognition test				
Patient	22	6.50	2.841	.006	40
Control	20	4.30	2.793		
Syndrom-Kurz-7	Гest				
Patient	21	3.00	2.408	.117	39
Control	20	1.90	1.944		
Beck Depression	n Inventory				
Patient	19	16.11	9.820	.000	37
Control	20	5.50	4.628		
Facially Expresse	ed Emotion Labe	ling			
Patient	21	28.29	3.133	.823	38
Control	19	27.89	7.249		

Note. M, mean; SD, standard deviation; df, degree of freedom; significant results on a 0.05 level are indicated in bold.

## Motor short-term memory paradigm

A significant main effect of sequence length revealed that participants made fewer errors for shorter sequences ( $F_{78} = 156.6$ , p < .001, four items =  $56.9 \pm 3.7\%$ , five items =  $35.1 \pm 3.7\%$ , six items =  $15.8 \pm 2.4\%$ ). A significant main effect of timing revealed that participants made fewer errors when repeating sequences immediately ( $F_{39} = 25.1$ , p < .001, immediate =  $40.3 \pm 3.2\%$ , delayed =  $31.6 \pm 3.2\%$ ). The rmANO-

Table 3. Correlation between motor short-term memory paradigm and cognitive tests

	Motor paradigm direct recall	Motor paradigm delayed recall	
Motor paradigm di	irect recall (N = 42)		
r	` I.000	.851	
Þ	_	.000	
•	elayed recall ( $N=42$ )		
r	.85 ĺ	1.000	
Þ	.000	_	
,	telligence Scale (forward, $N = 42$ )		
r	.086	.022	
Þ	.586	.888	
•	telligence Scale (backward, $N = 42$ )	.555	
r	.497	.461	
Þ	.001	.002	
Trail Making Test I		.002	
r	045	036	
Þ	.780	.823	
Trail Making Test I		.023	
r	311	274	
b	.045	.079	
P Regensburg Word		.077	
-	.169	.126	
r	.291		
p Vanhal I asumina an		.434	
_	ad Memory Test (total score, $N = 41$ )	220	
r	.301	.339	
p T	.056	.030	
Benton Test (corr			
r	.533	.470	
Þ	.000	.002	
Syndrom-Kurz-Te	,		
r	044	−. <b>194</b>	
Þ	.784	.224	
Becks Depression	Inventory $(N = 41)$		
r	183	.031	
Þ	.265	.851	

Note. r, Spearman's rank correlation. Significant results on a 0.05 level are indicated in bold.

VA revealed no further significant effects, and critically, no significant differences were revealed between groups (all p > .50).

There was a significant correlation between the motor recall abilities of the paradigm and the subtests WAIS-III backward, TMT-B, and Benton which are presented in detail in Table 3. All other group comparisons and correlations were not significant as outlined in Tables 2 and 3.

## **Discussion**

Our main finding was that, as hypothesized, therapy-resistant heavy drinkers without subjective cognitive complaints displayed subtle cognitive deficits compared with the control group. The cognitive impairments primarily affected frontal-executive functions, while memory was relatively spared. The latter was true for both classical verbal tests and the computerized motor short-term memory paradigm. The finding that patients did not differ from controls in either of these tests argues against a differential impairment of verbal versus action-related memory functions. Performance on the short-term memory motor task was correlated with the Benton Test, TMT-B, and WAIS-III backwards, indicating that it provided a combined assessment of memory and visuospatial functions.

The finding that patients displayed frontal-executive deficits is consistent with animal studies that demonstrate frontal lobe damage arising through glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity due to recurrent detoxifications (De Witte et al., 2003). Frontal-executive functions are known to include the ability to plan ahead and to overcome impulsive behaviour. It would therefore follow that frontal-executive impairments would correlate with the occurrence of relapses. Unfortunately, no studies to date have provided convincing data to support this proposal (Bowden, Crews, Bates, Fals-Stewart, & Ambrose, 2001). A recent study by Loeber et al. (2010) demonstrated a negative effect on cognitive function and recovery in 31 patients. However, they did not show a correlation with the occurrence of relapses, and included participants with a relatively positive prognosis. In contrast, the study presented here examined only patients with a history of being resistant to therapy, who can therefore be assumed to have a negative prognosis. Our study thus provides preliminary support for a negative association between frontalexecutive deficits and future prognosis, although further longitudinal data and replication with larger cohorts are required.

A clinical implication may be drawn from these results based on data indicating that cognitive deficits tend to improve with abstinence (Fals-Stewart & Lam, 2010). It may hence be assumed that patients with subtle executive deficits may benefit most from longterm therapeutic options rather than from frequent detoxifications. It is also noteworthy that the cognitive deficits manifested solely in more dedicated neuropsychological tests (TMT and RWT), and would therefore probably have been missed by routine clinical tests. Similarly, while patients did not fulfil the ICD10 criteria for depressive syndrome, they reported significantly more depressive features in the BDI questionnaire compared with controls. These depressive tendencies may have aggravated executive impairments, but also would not have been detected in routine clinical tests.

In summary, the study presented here found that severely alcohol-dependent subjects who have experienced recurrent withdrawals display subtle cognitive deficits. These deficits occurred primarily in the frontal-executive domain, while memory functions and visuospatial capacities were largely spared. Our pilot study therefore suggests that extensive cognitive testing might be a helpful additional tool in assessing therapy-resistant heavy drinkers. Future trials will elucidate the influence these cognitive deficits have on prognosis and quality of life.

## References

Bowden, S. C., Crews, F. T., Bates, M. E., Fals-Stewart, W., & Ambrose, M. L. (2001). Neurotoxicity and neurocognitive impairments with alcohol and drug-use disorders: Potential roles in addiction and recovery. Alcoholism, Clinical and Experimental Research, 25, 317-321. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2001.tb02215.x

De Witte, P., Pinto, E., Ansseau, M., & Verbanck, P. (2003). Alcohol and withdrawal: From animal research to clinical issues. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 27, 189-197. doi:10.1016/S0149-7634(03)00030-7

- Duka, T., Townshend, J. M., Collier, K., & Stephens, D. N. (2003). Impairment in cognitive functions after multiple detoxifications in alcoholic inpatients. *Alcoholism, Clinical and Experimental Research*, 27, 1563–1572. doi:10.1097/01.ALC.0000090142.11260.D7
- Fals-Stewart, W., & Lam, W. K. (2010). Computer-assisted cognitive rehabilitation for the treatment of patients with substance use disorders: A randomized clinical trial. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*, *18*, 87–98. doi:10.1037/a0018058
- Green, A., Garrick, T., Sheedy, D., Blake, H., Shores, E. A., & Harper, C. (2010). The effect of moderate to heavy alcohol consumption on neuropsychological performance as measured by the repeatable battery for the assessment of neuropsychological status. *Alcoholism, Clinical* and Experimental Research, 34, 443–450. doi:10.1111/j.1530-0277.2009.01108.x
- Loeber, S., Duka, T., Welzel Marquez, H., Nakovics, H., Heinz, A., Mann, K., & Flor, H. (2010). Effects of repeated withdrawal from alcohol on recovery of cognitive impairment under abstinence and rate of relapse. *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, 45, 541–547. doi:10.1093/alcalc/agq065
- Loeber, S., Duka, T., Welzel, H., Nakovics, H., Heinz, A., Flor, H., & Mann, K. (2009). Impairment of cognitive abilities and decision making after chronic use of alcohol: The impact of multiple detoxifications. *Alcohol and Alcoholism*, 44, 372–381. doi:10.1093/alcalc/agp030
- Oscar-Berman, M., & Marinkovic, K. (2007). Alcohol: Effects on neurobehavioral functions and the brain. *Neuropsychology Review*, 17, 239–257. doi:10.1007/s11065-007-9038-6
- Stampfer, M. J., Kang, J. H., Chen, J., Cherry, R., & Grodstein, F. (2005). Effects of moderate alcohol consumption on cognitive function in women. New England Journal of Medicine, 352, 245–253. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa041152
- Stephens, D. N., & Duka, T. (2008). Review. Cognitive and emotional consequences of binge drinking: Role of amygdala and prefrontal cortex. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B, Biological Sciences*, 363, 3169–3179. doi:10.1098/rstb.2008.0097

Received 16 January 2012; revised version received 19 September 2012